

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,181	08/18/2003	Farrokh Farzin-Nia	ORM-230US	3632
26875	7590 04/24/2006		EXAM	INER
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			BUMGARNER, MELBA N	
2700 CAREW 441 VINE ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI	I, OH 45202		3732	
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del></del>	<u> </u>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/643,181	FARZIN-NIA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Melba Bumgarner	3732		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  ly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	<u>1arch 2006</u> .			
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	, —				
	closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 28-34 is/are pending in the application	n.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
•	Claim(s) <u>28-34</u> is/are rejected.				
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1. 12			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are:				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prid		received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Burea		agaiyad		
-	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not the	eceiveu.		
Attachme		"П····			
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date		
3) X Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/30/04.2/18/05/6/</u> رح المحرود المحر	- <b>-</b>	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention III and Species C, claims 28-34 in the reply filed on March 23, 2006 is acknowledged.

### **Drawings**

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "56" and "84".
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "152" has been used to designate both "flange" and "aperture". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

Art Unit: 3732

the invention. Recitation of "said walls of said self-ligating insert" lacks sufficient antecedent basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 28-30 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Voudouris (2004/0072119). Voudouris discloses a self-ligating orthodontic bracket 10 comprising a metallic self-ligating assembly including an archwire slot 16 and a ligating member 18 movable between an open position and closed position, and a bracket body 12 configured to be mounted to a tooth, carrying the assembly and comprising a non-metallic material (figure 1). The non-metallic material is ceramic of polycrystalline aluminum oxide [0034]. As understood, self-ligating insert is wedge-shaped 57. The bracket comprises a metallic engagement member 52,54 capable of constraining movement of the member. The assembly further includes at least one guide 60.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3732

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voudouris in view of Hansen et al. (6,142,775). Voudouris discloses a bracket that shows the limitations as described above; however, Voudouris does not show non-metallic material of claim 31. Hansen et al. teach a ligating orthodontic bracket comprising a body of polycarbonate (column 5 line 24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the non-metallic material of Voudouris with polycarbonate as Hansen et al. show non-material of polycrystalline ceramic and polycarbonate as suitable material known in the art.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Georgakis et al. (6,554,612) is cited to show the state of the art with respect to self-ligating orthodontic bracket.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melba Bumgarner

Melba Bumgarner

Primary Examiner